

REMARKS

Claim Rejections

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Helberg.

Claims 1-6 are allowed.

Drawings

It is noted that the Examiner has approved the proposed drawing changes to Figures 1-3, filed August 6, 2004.

Claim Amendments

By this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claim 7 of this application.

Since claims 1-6 are allowed, it is not believed that any detailed discussion of the cited prior art reference is necessary.

Summary

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. Should the Examiner not be of the opinion that this case is in condition for allowance, it is requested that this amendment be entered for the purposes of appeal, since it materially reduces the issues on appeal by cancelling claim 7, thereby rendering moot the outstanding rejection of this claim under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Should any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, it is urged that Applicant's local attorney be contacted at the exchange listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 21, 2005

By:


Bruce H. Troxell
Reg. No. 26,592

TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
5205 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1404
Falls Church, Virginia 22041
Telephone: 703 575-2711
Telefax: 703 575-2707